1	LAW OFFICE OF CYNTHIA V. ECUBE, ESQ.	FILED
2	A Professional Corporation 207 Martyr Street, Suite 3	DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
3	Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 472-8889/922-8889	FEB 2 7 2007
4	Telecopier: (671) 472-8890	MARY L.M. MORAN
5	Email Address: <u>ecubelaw@netpci.com</u>	CLERK OF COURT
6	Attorney for Defendant NORMAN A. MANGLOÑA	Q ,
7	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM	
8	TERRITORY OF GUAM	
9	1 EMITORI	Of GOTHVI
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CRIMINAL CASE NO. 06-00056.
11	Plaintiff,)	
12) vs.)	DEFENDANT MANGLOÑA'S STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS
13) NORMAN A. MANGLOÑA,)	REGARDING THE DRAFT FINDINGS OF THE PSI REPORT
14	NORMAN A. MANGLONA,	FINDINGS OF THE PSI REPORT
15	Defendant.)	
16		
17	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
18	COMES NOW, Defendant, NORMAN A. MANGLOÑA, by and through his Court	
19	appointed counsel of record, CYNTHIA V. ECUBE, ESQ. with the LAW OFFICE OF CYNTHIA	
20	V. ECUBE, ESQ., P.C., and hereby submits its Statement of Objections regarding the Draft Findings	
21	of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report (hereinafter "Draft PSI Report") filed in the above-entitled	
22	criminal matter, herein.	
23	II. FACTUAL OBJECTIONS TO DRAFT PSI REPORT:	
24	First of all, Defendant MANGLOÑA disputes the statements as contained in Paragraph 13 of	
25	the PSI. Defendant maintains that he had know knowledge of Mu Jin's intentions to enter Guam by	
26	boat. Defendant did purchase a cell phone and GPS handset for Mu Jin, but was informed by Jin tha	
27		
28		
29	ORIGINAL	
	CVE/abs L:\CVE-CLIENTS\Mangloña, Norman\Statement of Objecti Case 1:06-cr-00056 Document 21 Fi	ons re Draft Findings of PSI Report\02-25-07. led 02/27/2007 Page 1 of 4

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

he needed these items to ensure safety out in the open seas in the event of bad weather and poor visibility conditions between Tinian and Saipan. Secondly, Defendant disputes the statement as provided in Paragraph 15. Defendant maintains that he had no knowledge that there were other Chinese individuals to be picked up. Defendant submits that he was under the impression that he was only picking up Mu Jin when Mu Jin contacted Defendant to pick him up. Lastly, Defendant further disagrees with SA Hernandez' statement concerning whether the Defendant heard any sirens. According to Defendant, he informed SA Hernandez that he heard no sirens nor noise.

III. LEGAL OBJECTIONS TO THE PSI REPORT:

Defendant, NORMAN A. MANGLOÑA (hereinafter "MANGLOÑA), objects to the computations as determined by the U. S. Probation Office in computing the total adjusted offense level as provided in the Federal Sentencing Advisory Guidelines. Specifically, Defendant MANGLONA, objects to the adjusted computation offense level of 10. First of all, Defendant objects to the Probation Office's reliance on the 2006 Federal Sentencing Guidelines Manual in calculating the total offense level. By applying the 2006 Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Defendant would not be entitled to three (3) credit reduction for "committing the offense not for profit" as provided in 2L1.1b(1) under the of the "Specific Offense Characteristics" category. More importantly, Defendant submits that the offense was committed on or around February 27, 2004. Taking this into consideration, the Court should rely on the 2004 Federal Sentencing Guidelines Manual.

§1B1.11 of the 2006 Federal Sentencing Guidelines Manual provides in relevant part:

" (b)(1) If the Court determines that the use of the Guidelines Manual in effect on the date that the defendant is sentenced would violate the ex post facto clause of the United States Constitution, the court shall use the Guidelines Manual in effect on the date that the offense of conviction was committed." (Emphasis Added).

Defendant further contends that application of the 2006 Federal Sentencing Guidelines Manual raises serious due process considerations. See generally U. S. v. Sweeten, 933 F. 2d 765 (1991).

In summary, by applying the 2004 Federal Sentencing Manual, Defendant submits that he would

2	U. S. A. v. Norman Mangloña; Criminal Case No. 06-00056; Defendant's Statement of Objections Re: Draft PSI Report; Page 2.	
1	be entitled to a three (3) credit reduction. Thus, the total adjusted level should be a level seven (7),	
2	reflecting a range of 0 to 6 months.	
3	Dated this 27 th day of February, 2007.	
4		
5	LAW OFFICE OF CYNTHA V ECUBE, ESQ.	
6	A Professional Corporation	
7 8	By: CYNTHIA V. ECUBE, ESQ.	
9	Atterney for Defendant	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24	/ / /	
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
	CVE/abs L:\CVE-CLIENTS\Mangloña, Norman\Statement of Objections re Draft Findings of PSI Report\02-25-07. Case 1:06-cr-00056 Document 21 Filed 02/27/2007 Page 3 of 4	

LAW OFFICE OF CYNTHIA V. ECUBE, ESQ., P. C. U. S. A. v. Norman Mangloña, Criminal Case No. 06-00056.; Defendant's Statement of Objections re: Draft Findings of PSI Report. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, GENEVIEVE P. MESA, Legal Secretary with the Law Office of Cynthia V. Ecube, Esq., P.C., hereby certify that on the 27th day of February, 2007, I caused to be served via facsimile a copy of "Defendant Mangloña's Statement of Objections regarding the Draft Findings of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report" in Criminal Case No. 06-00056, to: KARON JOHNSON, Esq. Assistant U. S. Attorney U. S. Attorney's Office Sirena Plaza, Suite 500 108 Hernan Cortez, Suite 500 Hagåtña, Guam 96910 and to, CARLYN BORJA United States Probation Officer United States Probation Office 2nd Floor, District Court 520 W. Soledad Ave. Hagåtña, Guam 96910 eniere P. Mu.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29